



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/668,465 09/25/2000		Mikhail Prokopenko	169.1856	2125	
5514	7590 12/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ICK CELLA HARPER	BELIVEAU	BELIVEAU, SCOTT E		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2614		

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/668,465	PROKOPENKO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Scott Beliveau	2614	

Defense the Elliss of an Assess I Delef	09/008,405	PROROPEINO ET	AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott Beliveau	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 07 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no</li> </ol>						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	IRST REPLY WAS FILE				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See <i>Attached</i></u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		-	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	not be entered is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:						

## ADVISORY ACTION

Applicant's amendments to claims 1, 9, and 17 so as to incorporate the limitations of claims 8, 16, and 24 respectively is appreciated. However, applicant's proposed amendments do not merely cancel claims, adopt examiner suggestions, remove issues for appeal, or in some other way require only a cursory review by the examiner. The examiner's previous response indicated that previously presented claims 3, 8, 11, 16, 19, and 24 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In response to the examiner indication of allowable subject matter, applicants incorporated the limitations of claims 8, 16, and 24 into their respective independent claims. However, in doing so, applicant's did not adhere to the examiner's suggestions in that the particular incorporation created new claim combination not previously considered. For example, the subject matter of objected to claims 3, 11, and 19 was never previously considered in light of previously objected to claims 8, 16, and 24. Accordingly, further search and/or consideration is required on the part of the examiner. An amendment merely rewriting claims 3, 8, 11, 16, 19, and 24 in independent form and canceling all other claims would not require further consideration and would be entered.

This application contains claims 29-69 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filled on 04 May 2004. Applicant's are reminded that the failure to cancel claims drawn to nonelected invention(s) not eligible for rejoinder or to take appropriate action will be construed as authorization to cancel these claims by examiner's amendment and pass the application to issue after the expiration of the period for reply.

Application/Control Number: 09/668,465

Art Unit: 2614

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Scott Beliveau whose telephone number is 571-272-7343.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. - 6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free).

Scott Beliveau

Examiner

Art Unit 2614

**SEB** 

December 19, 2005

JOHN MILLER

Page 3

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**